UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,288	08/01/2003	Charles L. Branch	MSDI-261/PC750.00	3338
52196 KRIEG DEVA	7590 06/11/200 [JLT LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 2800			RAMANA, ANURADHA	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/633,288	BRANCH ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Anu Ramana	3733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 8/1/03 is/are: a) ☑ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3733

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5-7, 9-14, 16, 19-20, 22-24, 26, 29, 31-34, 36, 39-40, 42-44, 46-48, 55 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Florin (US 3,626,471).

Florin discloses a retractor with an inner wall surface defining a working channel therealong and a fiber optic element or lighting element 21 with resilient or bendable wall members (22, 23) engageable with the inner wall surface (Figs. 1, 2, col. 1, lines 21-47 and col. 2, lines 1-23). Each wall member extends along the width of the inner wall surface (Figs. 2 and 3). Further, each wall member has a convexly curved surface and a concavely curved wall surface opposite the convexly curved surface.

Claims 1, 5, 8-9, 16, 21-22, 26, 31-32, 36, 42 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilder et al. (US 4,562,832).

Wilder et al. disclose a retractor 42 including a working channel and a resinous core or lighting element including at least one wall member or portion, i.e., the light pipe 44, wherein the wall member is positionable and circumferentially movable along the inner wall surface by being received in openings 43 that penetrate the inner wall surface (Fig. 7 and col. 9, lines 22-40).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3733

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 17-18, 27-28, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin (US 3,626,471) in view of Lail (US 6,621,966).

Florin discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for the fiber optic element being a group of optical fibers.

Fiber optic elements provided as groups of optical fibers are well known as evidenced by Lail (Fig. 6).

The substitution of one known fiber optic element (cable with a plurality of fibers as disclosed by Lail) for another known fiber optic element (as disclosed by Florin) would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because this amounts to simple substitution of one known fiber optic element for another and would have yielded predictable results, namely, illumination at a desired location.

Claims 1-5, 8-11, 13-18, 20-23, 25-28, 31-33, 35-38, 40, 42-43, 45-47, 49 and 55-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ostensen (US 3,592,199) in view of Wilder et al. (US 4,562,832).

Ostensen discloses a tubular retractor 12 including a fiber optic lighting element 22 attached to an inner wall surface of the retractor (Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 53-75 and col. 3, liens 1-16).

Ostensen discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for an explicit disclosure of attaching the fiber optic lighting element to the inner wall surface of the retractor.

Wilder et al. teach snap-in clips or wall members (18, 19) for removable frictional engagement with a lighting element so that the lighting element can be attached to a surface while preventing movement thereof (Fig. 2 and col. 16, lines 3-11).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,288 Page 4

Art Unit: 3733

Thus, it would have been recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art that applying the known technique of using snap-in clips, taught by Wilder et al., to attach the lighting element to the wall surface of the Ostensen retractor would have yielded predictable results, i.e., removable engagement of the lighting element to the retractor wall surface so that the lighting element can be removably and fixedly attached to the retractor wall surface.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, submitted under "REMARKS" in the response filed on February 14, 2008 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections made in the previous office action are withdrawn. New grounds of rejection have been made in this action.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,288 Page 5

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR June 8, 2008

> /Anu Ramana/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3733